



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

09/975,944

10/15/2001

Sudhakar Shenoy

CSCO-012/4912

9609

26392

7590

01/11/2006

LAW FIRM OF NAREN THAPPETA  
C/O LONDON IP, INC.  
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 450  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

WILSON, ROBERT W

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2661

DATE MAILED: 01/11/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                          |                               |                               |  |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | Application No.<br>09/975,944 | Applicant(s)<br>SHENOY ET AL. |  |
|                          | Examiner<br>Robert W. Wilson  | Art Unit<br>2661              |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Robert W. Wilson. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Naren Thappeta. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 28 December 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's representative suggested amendment to the claim. No agreement was reached relative to the allowability. Examiner suggested formal submission of the amendment. If an RCE was submitted and the examiner found prior art he agreed to call the applicant.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
Examiner's signature, if required